Review Article

Early Mobilization of Patients in Critical Care the Need of the Hour – A Review.

Dr. Ravuri Dushyanth Kumar,[1] Dr. Vanya Tripathi,[2*] Dr. Mazhar Ahmed [3]

1. Consultant, General Medicine, CSI Kalyani General Hospital, Mylapore, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.
2. DrNB resident Max Saket, Cardiac Anesthesia, Delhi, India.
3. MBBS Graduate, Deccan college of medical sciences Kanchanbagh, Santhoshnagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

***Corresponding Author:**
Dr. Vanya Tripathi, DrNB resident Max Saket, Cardiac Anesthesia, Delhi, India.
Email id: vanyatripathi1994@gmail.com

Abstract:
Early mobilization (EM) has emerged as a fundamental component of modern critical care practice aimed at mitigating the adverse consequences of prolonged immobility in intensive care unit (ICU) patients. Critical illness is frequently associated with muscle wasting, ICU-acquired weakness (ICU-AW), delirium, prolonged mechanical ventilation, and long-term functional disability. Early mobilization encompasses a spectrum of interventions ranging from passive range-of-motion exercises to active ambulation initiated during the early phase of critical illness. Over the past decade, substantial evidence has demonstrated that EM is feasible, safe, and beneficial when implemented in appropriately selected patients. Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have reported reductions in ICU length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, and improvements in functional outcomes without increasing adverse events. Emerging technologies such as wearable sensors, robotics, neuromuscular electrical stimulation, virtual reality-assisted rehabilitation, and artificial intelligence-guided mobility protocols are expanding the scope of ICU rehabilitation. Despite encouraging evidence, barriers including staffing limitations, deep sedation practices, hemodynamic instability, and institutional culture continue to impede widespread implementation. This review summarizes the pathophysiological rationale, current evidence, clinical applications, safety considerations, multidisciplinary approaches, recent developments, and future perspectives of early mobilization in critical care. Recent evidence supports integrating EM into routine ICU care as part of comprehensive rehabilitation strategies to improve both short-term and long-term patient outcomes

Keywords: Early mobilization, intensive care unit, critical illness, ICU-acquired weakness, rehabilitation, mechanical ventilation,

Introduction:

Advances in critical care medicine have significantly improved survival rates among critically ill patients. However, increasing survival has highlighted the burden of long-term physical, cognitive, and psychological impairments collectively known as post-intensive care syndrome (PICS). Among these complications, ICU-acquired weakness represents one of the most prevalent and debilitating sequelae. Skeletal muscle mass can decline rapidly during critical illness, with measurable losses occurring within the first week of ICU admission. Immobility, systemic inflammation, multiorgan dysfunction, mechanical ventilation, and prolonged sedation contribute synergistically to muscle wasting and functional decline.[1-4]

Historically, critically ill patients were managed with prolonged bed rest due to concerns regarding physiological instability and safety. Over the last two decades, evidence has challenged this paradigm, demonstrating that carefully monitored mobilization can be initiated safely during the early stages of critical illness. Early mobilization is generally defined as the initiation of physical activity within 48–72 hours of ICU admission or commencement of mechanical ventilation, provided the patient meets predefined safety criteria. Contemporary ICU rehabilitation programs increasingly recognize mobility as a therapeutic intervention rather than merely a supportive measure. [5-7]

Definition and Components of Early Mobilization

Early mobilization encompasses a continuum of activities tailored to the patient's physiological status. Interventions may begin with passive range-of-motion exercises and progress through active-assisted exercises, bed mobility, sitting at the edge of the bed, standing, transfer training, cycle ergometry, and ultimately ambulation. The intensity and progression of therapy are individualized according to patient tolerance and clinical stability. [8-11]

Modern mobility programs frequently utilize structured mobility scales and protocols to standardize progression. Multidisciplinary collaboration among intensivists, nurses, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, respiratory therapists, and rehabilitation specialists is essential for successful implementation. Recent evidence suggests that protocolized mobility programs improve consistency and patient outcomes compared with unstructured approaches.

Pathophysiological Basis of Early Mobilization

Critical illness initiates a complex cascade of metabolic and inflammatory responses that accelerate protein catabolism and impair neuromuscular function. Elevated inflammatory cytokines, mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and hormonal alterations contribute to rapid skeletal muscle degradation. Studies have shown that critically ill patients may lose up to 20% of muscle mass during the first week of ICU stay. Mechanical ventilation further exacerbates respiratory muscle weakness through ventilator-induced diaphragmatic dysfunction. [1,5,9]

Immobilization adversely affects multiple organ systems. Cardiovascular deconditioning leads to orthostatic intolerance, while pulmonary complications include atelectasis, impaired secretion clearance, and reduced lung volumes. Neurological consequences include delirium, sensory deprivation, and cognitive dysfunction. Musculoskeletal complications encompass joint contractures, reduced bone mineral density, and severe muscle atrophy. Early mobilization interrupts these pathophysiological processes by preserving muscle activity, enhancing cardiopulmonary function, stimulating neurocognitive engagement, and promoting overall functional recovery. [11,12,15]

Clinical Benefits of Early Mobilization

The strongest evidence supporting early mobilization relates to the prevention of ICU-acquired weakness and improvement in physical function. Multiple randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses have demonstrated superior muscle strength, improved mobility scores, and enhanced independence in activities of daily living among patients receiving early mobilization interventions.

Reduction in the duration of mechanical ventilation represents another significant benefit. Mobilization improves respiratory muscle function, facilitates secretion clearance, and enhances pulmonary mechanics. Recent meta-analytic evidence indicates shorter ventilation duration among patients undergoing early mobilization compared with standard care. Furthermore, mobilized patients frequently demonstrate reduced ICU and hospital length of stay, contributing to lower healthcare costs and more efficient resource utilization.

Emerging evidence also suggests beneficial effects on delirium prevention and neurocognitive outcomes. Early physical activity may promote wakefulness, normalize circadian rhythms, and enhance cognitive engagement. Combined mobility and sedation-minimization strategies have shown promise in reducing delirium burden and improving long-term quality of life. [9,11,16]

Active and Passive Mobilization in Critically Ill Patients

Mobilization is an essential component of rehabilitation in critically ill patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU). Prolonged bed rest and immobilization contribute significantly to muscle atrophy, ICU-acquired weakness (ICU-AW), joint stiffness, cardiovascular deconditioning, respiratory dysfunction, and reduced functional independence. Early mobilization strategies, including both passive and active mobilization, have become integral parts of modern critical care practice aimed at preventing these complications and improving patient outcomes.

Passive mobilization refers to movement performed by healthcare providers without active participation from the patient. It is primarily indicated for deeply sedated, unconscious, mechanically ventilated, or neurologically impaired patients who are unable to voluntarily move their limbs. Passive mobilization includes passive range-of-motion exercises, stretching, positioning, turning, splinting, and passive cycling. These interventions help maintain joint mobility, prevent contractures, improve circulation, reduce edema, and preserve soft tissue flexibility. Passive limb movements may also stimulate sensory pathways and contribute to maintaining neuromuscular integrity during periods of critical illness. Studies have demonstrated that passive mobilization can attenuate the detrimental effects of prolonged immobility and serve as the initial step in a progressive rehabilitation program. [11,15]

In addition to conventional passive movements, modern ICUs increasingly employ technologies such as passive cycle ergometry and neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES). Passive cycle ergometers facilitate repetitive lower-limb movements without patient effort, thereby enhancing venous return and maintaining joint mobility. NMES induces muscle contractions through electrical impulses and has shown potential in preserving muscle mass and reducing the severity of ICU-acquired weakness among patients unable to actively participate in rehabilitation. These approaches are particularly valuable in patients with severe sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), or prolonged mechanical ventilation.

Active mobilization involves voluntary participation by the patient and is initiated once sufficient physiological stability and neurological responsiveness are achieved. Active mobilization encompasses a continuum of activities ranging from active range-of-motion exercises to sitting, standing, transfer training, marching in place, cycle ergometry, and ambulation. The progression of active mobilization depends on the patient's level of consciousness, muscle strength, cardiopulmonary reserve, and overall clinical condition. Early active mobilization aims to restore muscle strength, improve cardiovascular endurance, enhance pulmonary function, and promote functional independence.

The physiological benefits of active mobilization are extensive. Voluntary muscle contractions stimulate protein synthesis and counteract muscle catabolism, thereby reducing the progression of ICU-acquired weakness. Active exercises improve cardiac output, enhance peripheral circulation, increase oxygen delivery to tissues, and facilitate pulmonary secretion clearance. Furthermore, active participation in rehabilitation promotes neurocognitive stimulation, reduces delirium risk, and enhances psychological well-being. Several randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that active mobilization is associated with shorter durations of mechanical ventilation, reduced ICU and hospital lengths of stay, improved functional outcomes at discharge, and better long-term quality of life. [1,3,4]

The transition from passive to active mobilization should be individualized and guided by standardized safety criteria. Before initiating mobilization, clinicians must assess hemodynamic stability, oxygenation status, neurological responsiveness, and the presence of contraindications such as uncontrolled arrhythmias, active myocardial ischemia, unstable fractures, or severe intracranial hypertension. Continuous monitoring of heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, respiratory rate, and patient symptoms during mobilization is essential to ensure safety.

A progressive mobility framework is commonly employed in critical care settings. Initially, patients may receive passive range-of-motion exercises and regular repositioning. As consciousness improves, active-assisted movements are introduced, followed by active exercises in bed. Subsequent stages include sitting at the edge of the bed, transferring to a chair, standing, and ambulation. This stepwise progression allows gradual adaptation of the cardiovascular, respiratory, and musculoskeletal systems while minimizing the risk of adverse events. The successful implementation of passive and active mobilization requires a multidisciplinary approach involving intensivists, physiotherapists, nurses, occupational therapists, and respiratory therapists. Effective communication among team members facilitates patient assessment, goal setting, and progression through mobility levels. Contemporary ICU rehabilitation programs frequently integrate mobilization within the ABCDEF bundle, emphasizing pain management, sedation optimization, delirium prevention, early mobility, and family engagement.

Recent technological advances are further enhancing mobilization practices in critical care. Robotic-assisted rehabilitation devices, wearable activity monitors, exoskeletons, virtual reality systems, and artificial intelligence-driven mobility protocols are being investigated to optimize rehabilitation outcomes. These innovations may facilitate personalized rehabilitation strategies and improve access to mobility interventions, particularly in resource-constrained settings. [15]

Safety Considerations

Safety remains a critical concern during mobilization of critically ill patients. Appropriate patient selection and adherence to established safety criteria are essential. Common considerations include hemodynamic stability, adequate oxygenation, neurological responsiveness, and absence of uncontrolled arrhythmias or active bleeding.[15,16] Recent systematic reviews have demonstrated that adverse events associated with early mobilization are uncommon and generally minor. Serious complications such as accidental extubation, line dislodgement, falls, or hemodynamic collapse occur infrequently when standardized protocols are followed. Current evidence supports the safety of mobilization even in mechanically ventilated patients when conducted by trained multidisciplinary teams.

Safety screening frameworks increasingly incorporate cardiovascular, respiratory, neurological, and device-related parameters. Continuous monitoring during mobilization sessions enables prompt recognition and management of adverse physiological responses.

Early Mobilization in Mechanically Ventilated Patients

Mechanically ventilated patients represent a population at particularly high risk for functional decline. Historically considered unsuitable for mobilization, these patients are now recognized as potential beneficiaries of early rehabilitation interventions. Several landmark studies have demonstrated the feasibility of mobilizing intubated patients, including sitting, standing, and ambulation while receiving ventilatory support.

Ventilator synchronization, sedation minimization, and interdisciplinary coordination are key determinants of success. The ABCDEF bundle, emphasizing pain management, spontaneous awakening and breathing trials, delirium monitoring, early mobility, and family engagement, has become a cornerstone of contemporary ICU practice. Integration of early mobilization within this framework enhances both clinical effectiveness and implementation success.

Role of the Multidisciplinary Team

Successful early mobilization programs require coordinated efforts from multiple healthcare professionals. Intensivists provide medical oversight and determine patient eligibility. Nurses play a pivotal role in continuous assessment, patient preparation, and mobility execution. Physiotherapists design and supervise therapeutic interventions, while occupational therapists address functional activities and cognitive rehabilitation. [11]

Recent evidence highlights the importance of nurse-led and nurse-involved mobilization programs in improving implementation rates and reducing ICU length of stay. Multidisciplinary communication and shared decision-making remain essential components of effective rehabilitation pathways.

Early Mobility Bundle (EMB) in Critical Care:

The Early Mobility Bundle (EMB) is a structured, multidisciplinary approach designed to facilitate safe and progressive mobilization of critically ill patients from the earliest feasible stage of ICU admission. Similar to the Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) bundle, the EMB integrates several evidence-based interventions that collectively reduce ICU-acquired weakness, delirium, duration of mechanical ventilation, and long-term functional impairment. The bundle typically includes daily assessment of mobility readiness, optimization of analgesia and sedation using a "light sedation" strategy, performance of spontaneous awakening and breathing trials, routine delirium screening and management, progressive mobility exercises, and multidisciplinary collaboration among physicians, nurses, physiotherapists, and respiratory therapists. Patients are screened daily for hemodynamic, respiratory, and neurological stability before initiation of mobilization activities, which progress from passive range-of-motion exercises and bed positioning to active exercises, sitting, standing, transfer training, and ambulation as tolerated. Continuous monitoring during mobilization ensures patient safety and allows early identification of adverse events. Integration of the Early Mobility Bundle into routine ICU practice has been associated with improved muscle strength, enhanced functional recovery, reduced ICU and hospital length of stay, decreased healthcare costs, and better quality of life among ICU survivors. Consequently, the Early Mobility Bundle is increasingly recognized as a core component of ICU liberation strategies and comprehensive critical care rehabilitation programs.

Recent Advances and Updates

Recent systematic reviews and network meta-analyses have reinforced the efficacy and safety of mobilization initiated within 72 hours of ICU admission. Updated evidence suggests significant reductions in ICU length of stay and mechanical ventilation duration, accompanied by improvements in muscle strength and functional status.

Technological innovations are increasingly integrated into ICU rehabilitation programs. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation has shown promise in preserving muscle mass among patients unable to participate actively. Robotic-assisted mobilization systems facilitate progressive rehabilitation while minimizing staff burden. Wearable sensors enable continuous monitoring of physical activity and mobility progression. Artificial intelligence algorithms are being developed to identify optimal mobilization timing and personalize rehabilitation strategies.

Virtual reality-assisted rehabilitation represents another emerging frontier. Recent pilot studies have demonstrated the feasibility of immersive rehabilitation environments that improve patient engagement and motivation during recovery. Such technologies may become valuable adjuncts to conventional physiotherapy in future ICUs.

Early mobilization is also being extended to highly complex patient populations, including individuals receiving extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), ventricular assist devices, and advanced respiratory support. Preliminary evidence suggests that carefully supervised mobilization in these populations may improve outcomes while maintaining acceptable safety profiles.

Future Concepts:

Biomarkers of muscle injury, inflammation, and recovery may help identify patients most likely to benefit from specific mobilization strategies. Machine learning algorithms could optimize mobilization timing, intensity, and progression based on real-time physiological data. Integration of robotics, exoskeletons, wearable technologies, and tele-rehabilitation platforms may expand access to rehabilitation while reducing workforce limitations. Artificial intelligence-assisted decision support systems may facilitate protocol adherence and improve patient safety. Additionally, future studies should emphasize long-term outcomes including health-related quality of life, return to work, cognitive function, and patient-reported measures. Standardization of outcome measures remains a major research priority. Current heterogeneity in study design, intervention protocols, and outcome reporting limits comparisons across studies. Large multicenter randomized controlled trials are needed to establish optimal mobilization timing, dosage, and patient selection criteria.

Conclusion:

Early mobilization has evolved from a controversial intervention to a central component of evidence-based critical care practice. Accumulating evidence demonstrates that mobilization initiated during the early phase of critical illness is safe, feasible, and associated with improvements in functional recovery, muscle strength, duration of mechanical ventilation, and ICU length of stay. Contemporary rehabilitation strategies emphasize multidisciplinary collaboration, protocolized implementation, and integration with sedation and delirium management. Technological innovations including robotics, wearable sensors, virtual reality, and artificial intelligence are poised to transform ICU rehabilitation in the coming years. Continued research focusing on individualized rehabilitation approaches and long-term outcomes will further refine the role of early mobilization in critical care and contribute to improved quality of survivorship among critically ill patients.

References:

1. Rey-Rico A, Cucchiarini M. Smart and Controllable rAAV Gene Delivery Carriers in Progenitor Cells for Human Musculoskeletal Regenerative Medicine with a Focus on the Articular Cartilage. Curr Gene Ther. 2017;17(2):127-138
2. Singam A. Mobilizing Progress: A Comprehensive Review of the Efficacy of Early Mobilization Therapy in the Intensive Care Unit. Cureus. 2024 Apr 4;16(4):e57595.
3. Needham DM, Davidson J, Cohen H, Hopkins RO, Weinert C, Wunsch H, et al. Improving long-term outcomes after discharge from intensive care unit: report from a stakeholders' conference. Crit Care Med. 2012 Feb;40(2):502-9.
4. Devlin JW, Skrobik Y, Gélinas C, Needham DM, Slooter AJC, Pandharipande PP et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Prevention and Management of Pain, Agitation/Sedation, Delirium, Immobility, and Sleep Disruption in Adult Patients in the ICU. Crit Care Med. 2018 Sep;46(9):e825-e873.
5. Singam A. Mobilizing Progress: A Comprehensive Review of the Efficacy of Early Mobilization Therapy in the Intensive Care Unit. Cureus. 2024 Apr 4;16(4):e57595.
6. Thomsen GE, Snow GL, Rodriguez L, Hopkins RO. Patients with respiratory failure increase ambulation after transfer to an intensive care unit where early activity is a priority. Crit Care Med. 2008 Apr;36(4):1119-24.
7. Adler J, Malone D. Early mobilization in the intensive care unit: a systematic review. Cardiopulm Phys Ther J. 2012 Mar;23(1):5-13.

8. Zhang L, Hu W, Cai Z, Liu J, Wu J, Deng Y, Yu K, Chen X, Zhu L, Ma J, Qin Y. Early mobilization of critically ill patients in the intensive care unit: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2019 Oct 3;14(10):e0223185.
9. Yang X, Zhang T, Cao L, Ye L, Song W. Early Mobilization for Critically Ill Patients. Respir Care. 2023 Jun;68(6):781-795.
10. Hodgson CL, Berney S, Harrold M, Saxena M, Bellomo R. Clinical review: early patient mobilization in the ICU. Crit Care. 2013 Feb 28;17(1):207.
11. Lang JK, Paykel MS, Haines KJ, Hodgson CL. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Early Mobilization in the ICU: A Systematic Review. Crit Care Med. 2020 Nov;48(11):e1121-e1128.
12. Wshah A, Obaidat S, Shallan AI, Muhsen A, Bin Sheeha B, Alkasassbeh A, et al Exploring Early Mobilization Practices in Adult Intensive Care Units in Jordan: A Cross-Sectional Survey. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2025 Jun 28;18:3749-3761
13. Zhang H, Liu H, Li Z, Li Q, Chu X, Zhou X, et al. Early mobilization implementation for critical ill patients: A cross-sectional multi-center survey about knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of critical care nurses. Int J Nurs Sci. 2021 Nov 3;9(1):49-55.
14. Zang K, Chen B, Wang M, Chen D, Hui L, Guo S, Ji T, Shang F. The effect of early mobilization in critically ill patients: A meta-analysis. Nurs Crit Care. 2020 Nov;25(6):360-367.
15. TEAM Study Investigators and the ANZICS Clinical Trials Group; Hodgson CL, Bailey M, Bellomo R, Brickell K, Broadley T, Buhr H, et al. Early Active Mobilization during Mechanical Ventilation in the ICU. N Engl J Med. 2022 Nov 10;387(19):1747-1758.
16. Schaller SJ, Scheffenbichler FT, Bein T, Blobner M, Grunow JJ, Hamsen U, et al. Guideline on positioning and early mobilisation in the critically ill by an expert panel. Intensive Care Med. 2024 Aug;50(8):1211-1227.

Conflict of interest: Nil
Funding: Nil
Journal Homepage: https://journalofmedicalanddentalfrontiers.com/
PURLs: https://archive.org/details/3-rw-jmdf-jun-2026-7-14

Submitted: 05/04/2026
Revised: 18/04/2026
Accepted: 23/05/2026
Published: 30/6/2026

Cite this article:
Ravuri Dushyanth Kumar, Dr Vanya Tripathi, Dr. Mazhar Ahmed. Early Mobilization of Patients in Critical Care the Need of the Hour – A Review. Jour Med Dent Fron Jour Med Dent Fron 2026;3(1):7-14